



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/572,725	03/21/2006	Seon Ho Han	CU-4700 WWP	6890		
26530	7590	07/27/2010	EXAMINER			
LADAS & PARRY LLP	HSIEH, PING Y					
224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
SUITE 1600	2618					
CHICAGO, IL 60604						
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
07/27/2010		PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/572,725	HAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PING Y. HSIEH	2618

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a) The period for reply expires _____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: 21,23 and 25.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,8,22,24 and 26.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____
13. Other: _____.

/Lana N. Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In pages 2-7 of the remarks, regarding claims 1, 3, and 8, applicant argues that Welland does not disclose at all the characteristics of the present invention which uses a digital frequency control voltage (VDT) signal only or the VDT signal and an analog frequency control voltage (VAT) signal both, in order to control at least one of the receive amplifier, the receive mixer, the transmit mixer and the transmit amplifier. Applicant further argues the differences between Kim and the present invention, where Kim is using only analog control signal.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kim discloses using a frequency control voltage signal to control at least one of the receive amplifier, the receive mixer, the transmit mixer and the transmit amplifier (as shown in fig. 1A and 1B). Although Kim does not specifically disclose the frequency control voltage signal is in a form of a digital frequency control voltage (VDT) signal only or the VDT singal and an analog frequency control voltage (VAT) signal both, Welland discloses the oscillator is a digital analog tuning voltage controlled oscillator for providing the output resonant frequency in paragraph 56-60; and VCO is controlled by VAT (i.e. V_c) and VDT (i.e. B_c) signals. Furthermore, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. Even thought Kim is using analog control signal as the frequency control voltage signal, Welland shows the advantage of using both digital and analog control signal (see Welland, paragraph 11), which cures the deficiency argued by the applicant. Therefore, when considering the combination of Kim and Welland as a whole, the combination teaches all the claimed limitations.

Therefore, based on the logical response to the arguments provided above, the examiner repectfully renders claims 1,3,8,22,24 and 26 unpatentable over the cited art. Applicant presents additional arguments which do not render the claims allowable after the prosectution on the merit is closed.